



National Ski Patrol OET Program

IT Quality Assurance Form for Evaluation of Course/Event

Course Name						Course Number			
INTRODUCTION TO PATROLLING									
Division			Region			Date			
NORTHERN			EASTERN II			3/2/25			
Event Location (Ski Mountain)				Instructor of Record (IOR) - print name		IOR: NSP ID #			
HUFF HILLS SKI AREA				BOB STRIEBEL		270785			
No. of Examiners	No. of Support Staff	No. of Students	No. Pass/Fail		QA Auditor (IT) - print name	IT: NSP ID#			
			Pass	Fail	N/A				
2	2	5	5			JOHN ERIK SAKARIASSEN			
						QA Auditor (IT) - Signature			
						John Erik Sakariasen			
Quality Assurance Overall Assessment (Did the Course Meet the Objectives Outlined in the NSP OET Program Course Description): select button									
(+) Course Above the NSP Standard ○		(=) Course Meets the NSP Standard ☒			(-) Course Below the NSP Standard ○				
Information below to be used by IT to determine how well the NSP Standards were met.									
						EXPECTATIONS FOR SUCCESSFUL COURSE			
1.0 SAFETY						Above (+)	Meets (=)	Below (-)	N/A
Candidate and instructor/evaluator safety was communicated to all participants.						☒	○	○	○
Signed liability waivers were obtained from each participant prior to on-snow activities. (Candidates/evaluators/instructors/helpers/observers)						☒	○	○	○
2.0 INSTRUCTORS / EVALUATORS						Above (+)	Meets (=)	Below (-)	N/A
Sufficient numbers of instructors/evaluators were available to complete the course.						☒	○	○	○
Instructor/evaluators are capable of demonstrating the required ski/riding and/or toboggan handling skills at a competent level.						☒	○	○	○
Evaluators are approved by Division/Region OET Supervisors, NSP Ski School Supervisor, or by Division-specific protocol.						☒	○	○	○
At least one instructor/evaluator is present for each equipment type being used by candidates (e.g. ski or snowboard).						☒	○	○	○
3.0 VENUE						Above (+)	Meets (=)	Below (-)	N/A
Facilities (boot up area, bathrooms, meeting space, etc.) at the venue were sufficient for the course.						☒	○	○	○
Sufficient numbers of equipment (functional toboggans, bamboo poles, pin flags, etc.) were available for the course.						☒	○	○	○
Appropriate ski terrain for the course is available and was used in a suitable manner.						○	☒	○	○
Various snow conditions were used as needed for the course (unpacked, crud, ice, packed snow, powder, moguls, etc.).						○	○	☒	○



National Ski Patrol OET Program IT Quality Assurance Form for Evaluation of Course/Event

4.0 COURSE ACTIVITIES		Above (+)	Meets (=)	Below (-)	N/A
(Ski/Ride Skills) Instructors required candidates to demonstrate:					
	Short, medium, and long-radius turns	⊕	○	○	○
	Minimal (controlled) skidding in turns with no traversing	○	⊕	○	○
	Skiing/riding in varying snow conditions (ice, crud, moguls, etc.)	○	⊕	○	○
	Linked turns of consistent size and rounded shape	⊕	○	○	○
	Edge control; tipping skis simultaneously at turn initiation, or use of edges to execute snowboard maneuvers	○	⊕	○	○
	Rotary movements to steer skis or snowboard	○	⊕	○	○
	A balanced stance on skis or snowboard	⊕	○	○	○
	Effective pressure control	⊕	○	○	○
	Equipment carry	⊕	○	○	○
(Toboggan) Instructors required candidates to demonstrate:					
	Operation of an unloaded toboggan from storage site to the scene of a simulated accident.	○	⊕	○	○
	Effective skills to operate a toboggan from the front (handles) position on a variety of snow conditions & terrain	⊕	○	○	○
	Effective skills to operate a loaded toboggan from the rear (tail rope / handles) position on a variety of snow conditions & terrain	⊕	○	○	○
Instructors required candidates to demonstrate adaptation to changing terrain and snow conditions, either with ski skills or toboggan handling.		○	⊕	○	○
Awareness was displayed for safe speed and consistency and route selection as appropriate for people, terrain and conditions.		⊕	○	○	○
Score sheets allowed accurate recording of scores as well as sufficient room for specific comments.		○	⊕	○	○
Instructor ensured sufficient time to assess skills of all candidates.		⊕	○	○	○
All division-specific requirements were met by the Instructor.		⊕	○	○	○
5.0 COACHING/FEEDBACK		Above (+)	Meets (=)	Below (-)	N/A
The delivery and content of instructions provided to candidates was clear and understandable.		○	⊕	○	○
Post-course feedback was delivered in a positive, professional manner and included specific recommendations for each candidate.		⊕	○	○	○
Post-course coaching from instructors used current ski/ride and/or toboggan handling terminology, as found in the NSP OET Manual or as recommended by PSIA or AASI.		○	⊕	○	○

Additional comments / recommendations:

VARIOUS SNOW CONDITIONS & CHALLENGING TERRAIN CONDITIONS WERE LIMITED BY LACK OF NATURAL SNOW ON TESTING DAY. BOB MADE GOOD ACCOMODATIONS AND EFFECTED A VERY POSITIVE EVENT FOR ALL PARTICIPANTS.